PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	0	2	MAR	2006
WIPO				PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER AC		TION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No. PCT/YU2004/000026	International filing date (d 28.10.2004	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 13.11.2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC INV. C09K5/10 C09K5/20							
Applicant MILOVANOVIC, Vladisav							
Authority under Article 35 and tra	nsmitted to the applicant	according to Article 36	s International Preliminary Examining s.				
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of 9 sheets, including thi	s cover sheet.					
3. This report is also accompanied to							
a. 🛭 sent to the applicant and t	to the International Burea	u) a total of 18 sheets	s, as follows:				
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).							
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in Item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.							
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a							
Helating to Sequence List	Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).						
4. This report contains indications r	elating to the following ite	ems:					
☑ Box No. I Basis of the re	port						
☐ Box No. II Priority							
•		rd to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability				
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity o	f invention						
applicability; ci	itations and explanations) with regard to novelty supporting such stater	r, inventive step or industrial ment				
☐ Box No. VI Certain docum		iantinu					
	☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application						
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of th	is report				
10.06.2005		03.03.2006					
Name and mailing address of the internation	onal	Authorized officer	girkes Patentage				
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office - P. NI-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays		Puetz, C					
Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 3		Telephone No. +31 70	340-3759				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/YU2004/000026

_	Box	No. I Basis of the report			
1.	With filed	With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it w filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
		This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)			
2.	hav	n regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets whice been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this ort as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>			
	Des	cription, Pages			
	1-15	received on 04.02.2006 with letter of 03.02.2006			
	Clai	ms, Numbers			
	1-9	received on 04.02.2006 with letter of 03.02.2006			
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing			
з.	\boxtimes	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:			
		★ the description, pages 16-23 ★ the claims, Nos.			
		☐ the drawings, sheets/figs			
		☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):			
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify).			
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the plemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).			
		☐ the description, pages 1-15			
		☐ the sequence listing (specify):			
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):			
	*	If item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/YU2004/000026

_	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
1.	The obv	he questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- bvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
		the entire international application,					
	×	claims Nos. 1,2 (all in part)					
		because:					
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
	\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 1-2 (all in part)					
		the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:					
		the written form		has not been furnished			
				does not comply with the standard			
		the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
	•			does not comply with the standard			
		the tables related to the nucleo not comply with the technical re	tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
		See separate sheet for further	detail	ds .			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/YU2004/000026

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1,2

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1,2

1,2

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims Claims

No:

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Re Item I Basis of the report

1. The amendments filed with the letter dated 03.02.2006 introduce subject-matter which extends beyond the content of the application as originally filed, contrary to Article 34(2)(b) PCT. The amendments concerned are the following:

The whole description:

The applicant has filed a new description without giving a basis for the amended text. The parts concerned are:

```
Page 1, paragraphs 2 and 3;
page 2, paragraphs 2-4;
page 3;
page 4;
page 5, paragraph 1
page 6, paragraphs 4 (in part) and 5
page 9, paragraphs 1-4
page 12, last 2 paragraphs
page 13-15
```

Additionally in the parts where the applicant states to give a basis in the application as originally filed sentences are changed or reformulated and facts are added (e.g. page 1, paragraph 3, last sentence)

The claims:

The applicant has filed new claims 1-9. Although some basis can be found in "table A" and "table B" and on page 7, lines 17-24, respectively, in the application as originally filed, it is noted that the claimed compositions are not allowable for the following reasons:

Claim 1:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/YU2004/000026

The wording of claim 1 has no basis in the application as originally filed. The water solution is said to comprise a "polyvalent alcohol, water and additives as inhibitors". The use of the term "water" is seen as an unallowable broadening with respect to the application as originally filed, since every time water is specifically disclosed in the application as originally filed it is referred to as "soften water" (page 2, line 7) or "soften or distilled" (page 5) or "distilled" (table A).

Furthermore the claimed water solution is said to comprise "from 38 to 88% of a polyvalent alcohol" and to be "effective at temperatures from -65°C to +110°C".

Originally filed page 7 only refers to a <u>basic substance</u>, that is used in concentrations of 38, 48, 60 and 88 % in combinations with formulations A,B,C and D from table "A". Each of these specific concentrations is linked to specific temperatures (-25°C, -35°C, -55°C and -65°C). E.g. 38% of a basic substance is only disclosed in combination with additives of formulation A from table A and for the specific temperature of -25°C and not for the claimed temperature range of -65°C to +110°C.

Therefore there is no basis in the application as originally filed for the polyvalent alcohol in combination with the claimed specific concentration ranges and temperature ranges and it is seen as unallowable broadening.

Claims 2-5:

The subject-matter of said claims, referring back to claim 1, is based on the formulations A,B,C and D from table A and page 7, lines 15-24 of the description as originally filed. The expression "temperatures <u>up to</u>" cannot be accepted since the relevant part of the description refers to "temperature of".

Claim 6:

The wording of claim 6 has no basis in the application as originally filed.

A "regenerator for wasted antifreeze" is said to comprise a "polyvalent alcohol such

as glycerol, water".

The description as originally filed refers on page 18 to a "regenerator-modifier for utilized antifreeze".

The use of the term "water" is seen as an unallowable broadening of the scope of claim 6, since the water specifically disclosed in the application as originally filed in table B is referred to as "distilled" (table B).

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

- 1. Claims 1 and 2 as originally filed do not fulfill the requirements of Article 6 PCT, as they lack clarity. The claims neither define the matter for which protection is sought in a clear and concise way, nor are they clear concerning the definition in terms of technical features, thereby leaving the reader in doubt about the claimed subject-matter (Rule 6 PCT). The Applicant's attention is also drawn to the PCT Guide-lines 5.04, which is also concerned with the drafting of claims in terms of "technical features of the invention". It is made clear that "this means that claims should not contain any statements relating, for example, the commercial advantages or other non-technical matters...". Furthermore it is accepted practice in claim drafting to use one sentence per claim.
- 2. Because of the deficiencies mentioned in point 1. a meaningful search for the claimed subject-matter could not be carried out (Article 17(2)(a)(ii) PCT).
- 3. In the present case the present claims 1 and 2 had to be interpreted in the light of the description and the search has been restricted to compositions based on glycerine.
- 4. Consequently the opinion with respect to patentability in Item V is restricted to that which has been searched.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

D1: EP-A-1 010 740

D2: WO 03/040254

D3: DE 11 25 407 B

D4: US-A-1 980 282

D5: DE 569 771 C

D6: WO 02/08354

D7: DE 101 63 337

D8: DE 25 40 251

D9: EP-A-0 048 430

D10: US-A-4 000 079

D11: US-A-4 455 248

D12: US-A-4 404 113

D13: US-A-5 489 391

D14: US-A-5 387 360

D15: US 2003/198847

D16: GB-A-2 059 432

D17: DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 199427 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class E19, AN 1994-218517 XP002317510 & CN 1 076 956 A (ZHAO Y) 6 October 1993 (1993-10-06)

D18: US-A-5 288 419

1. General remark:

The opinion with respect to Article 33(1) PCT is subject to the restrictions mentioned above in Item III and Item I.

2. Novelty (Article 33(2) PCT:

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1 and 2 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Because of the unclear way in which the claims are construed documents **D1-D15** are novelty-destroying documents. **D1-D15** are concerned with cooling/antifreeze liquids/compositions which are either based on glycerin (**D1-D5**) or where glycerin is mentioned as one possible base material (**D6-D15**). The Applicant should note that at least the following passages are relevant:

D1: examples 1-7, claims 1-3

D2: examples 5,7,12,13,16-18

D3: example III

D4: whole document

D5: whole document

D6: claim 1

D7: paragraphs [0002],[0029]

D8: claim 1

D9: claim 1

D10: column 4,line 55-column 5,line 7; claims 1,3

D11: column 2, lines 25-45, claim 1

D12: claim 1

D13: column 4, lines 16-24; claims 1,3,9; table 1

D14: column 2, lines 26-50

D15: claims 1,5

3. Concluding remark:

Documents **D16-D18** are also regarded as relevant documents in view of novelty and inventive step.